On March 17, 2014, new federal Medicaid rules for Home and Community Based Services (HCBS) went into effect. The rules impact many parts of HCBS. One of the most important topics is the places where HCBS can be provided.

Because HCBS programs are offered as alternatives to nursing and intermediate care facility services, the new rules make sure that HCBS are provided in settings that are not institutional in nature. To follow this rule, states must make sure that HCBS settings are part of a larger community, people are able to have choices about their service settings, and that people are assured their rights to privacy, dignity and respect.

States must evaluate their HCBS programs to determine the level of compliance with the new rules. The setting indicated on this form has been identified as requiring to go through the heightened scrutiny process as part of the compliance process.

Additional information on Heightened Scrutiny can be found here: HCBS Settings Rule: Heightened Scrutiny

Setting Information

Site Name:	NORTH EASTERN SERVICE, INC.		Site ID:	1026	
Site Address:	433 s Commerce Rd., Orem, UT 84058				
Website:	Nesutah.com				
	Served at this dless of funding:	36	# of Medicaid Individual Served at this location		36
Waiver(s) Served:		HCBS Provider Type:			
☑ Acquired Bra	ain injury		☑ Day Support Services		
☐ Aging Waive	er		☐ Adult Day Care		
✓ Community Supports		☐ Residential Facility			
. ✓ Community	· ·		☐ Supported Living		
□ New Choices		☐ Employment Preparation Services			
Description of Waivers can be found here:					
https://medicaid.utah.gov/ltc/					
Heightened Scrutiny Prong:					
☐ Prong 1: Setting is in a publicly or privately operated facility that provides inpatient institutional treatment					
☐ Prong 2: Setting is in a building on the grounds of, or immediately adjacent to, a public institution					
☑ Prong 3: From the initial assessment, the setting was found to have the effect of isolating individuals from the					
broader community. The following is the area that was identified:					
☑ A. Individuals have limited, if any, opportunities for interaction in and with the broader community					
and /or the setting is physically located separate and apart from the broader community and					
does not facilitate individual opportunity to access the broader community and participate in					

community services consistent with their person centered service plan					
\square B. The setting restricts individuals choice to receive services or to engage in activities outside of the					
setting					
☑ C.	☑ C. The setting has qualities that are institutional in nature. These can include:				
•	The set	ting has policies and practices which control the behaviors of individuals; are rigid in			
	their sc	hedules; have multiple restrictive practices in place			
•	• The set	ting does not ensure an individual's rights of privacy, dignity, and respect			
		Due to multiple North Eastern Services (NES) not being compliant and the			
		noncompliance areas being similar at all of the settings, the State gave NES the			
Onsite Visit(s) Co	onducted:	opportunity to remediate organization level noncompliance areas as an organization			
		prior to doing additional validation visits. This setting has a validation visit scheduled			
		in January of 2023 to determine final compliance.			
Description of Se	etting:				
The setting is a d	ay support	services program located in an area of Orem that is in an industrial area that is not			
conducive to ind	ependent o	community resources. There are several community resources such as restaurants,			
stores, parks, etc	. within a s	hort driving distance.			
North Eastern Se	rvices chos	e to apply for and participate in the USU technical assistance program. They engaged			
with industry exp	erts throu	gh USU to identify what areas they needed to focus on to come into compliance with			
the settings rule	and establi	shed a transformation plan for their setting. As this was a very intensive and optional			
process, they did	not go thr	ough the additional review onsite visit with the State in 2019.			
Current Standing	g of Setting	;			
☐ Currently Com	npliant: the	setting has overcome the qualities identified above			
.					
☑ Approved Remediation Plan: the setting has an approved remediation plan demonstrating how it will come					
into compliance. The approved timeline for compliance is: 12/30/2022, Validation Visit will be completed in					
January 2023					
Evidonco th	a Sattin	a is Fully Compliant or Will Bo Fully Compliant			
Evidence the Setting is Fully Compliant or Will Be Fully Compliant					
Prong 1: The setting is in a publicly or privately operated facility that provides inpatient institutional treatment; the setting overcomes this presumption of an institutional setting.					
Compliance:	☐ Met	☐ Remediation Plan demonstrating will be compliant ☑ Not Applicable			
Prong 2: The setting is in a building on the grounds of, or immediately adjacent to, a public institution; the					
setting overcomes this presumption of an institutional setting.					
Compliance:	☐ Met	☐ Remediation Plan demonstrating will be compliant ☑ Not Applicable			
	ı				
Prong 3 A: The so	etting is int	regrated in and supports full access of individuals receiving Medicaid HCBS to the			

greater community, including opportunities to seek employment and work in competitive integrated settings, engage in community life, control personal resources, and receive services in the community, to the same degree of access as individuals not receiving Medicaid HCBS.

☐ Met ☐ Remediation Plan demonstrating will be compliant

Compliance:

Summary:

	Transformation Plan Summary:	
	North Eastern Services (NES) will eliminate usage of sub-minimum wage. Individuals involved in	
	NES employment will receive no less than minimum wage for work completed.	
	All residential and day support contracts adjusted to accommodate person centered ratios to	
	result in more person centered services available. Day program will be more involved in the	
	community and more person centered in regards to activities. NES will conduct pilot groups	
	with the day service without walls model. NES will expand activity options by allowing clients to	
	suggest multiple options that they are interested in. NES will reduce ratio size for community	
	activities.	
	Onsite Visit Summary (2021):	
	Due to multiple North Eastern Services (NES) not being compliant and the noncompliance areas	
	being similar at all of the settings, the State gave NES the opportunity to remediate organization	
Summary:	level noncompliance areas as an organization prior to doing additional validation visits.	
	The following concerns were identified at an organization level after several visits were done at	
	multiple settings and the provider was asked to address these items at an organizational level:	
	The settings need to do a better job at promoting community integration: Staff do not appear	
	to have an understanding on how to promote community integration activities. Skill building is	
	not a focus while in the community. Staff control individual's money when in the community. Remediation Plan Summary:	
	North Eastern Services is currently working with staff to develop a better understanding on how	
	to promote community integration activities. Individual skill building will be discussed and staff	
	will be trained on looking for skill building during meetings and will be given ideas on how to	
	promote community integration activities. NES is currently building a training and quiz to be	
	completed by staff.	
	Individuals will have the responsibility to bring their and manage their money for activities	
	unless there is a human rights restriction in place.	
•	etting is selected by the individual from among setting options, including non-disability specific	
settings.		
Compliance:	☑ Met ☐ Remediation Plan demonstrating will be compliant	
	The setting does not restrict access to non-disability settings. The setting has an admission	
Summary:	process that assesses individuals' needs and preferences and regularly reassesses to ensure	
	services are provided in a person-centered manner.	
Duona 2 C. The	Atting outlinings but does not regiment individual initiative automore, and independence in	
Prong 3 C: The setting optimizes, but does not regiment individual initiative, autonomy, and independence in		
making life choices. The setting ensures an individual's rights of privacy, dignity, respect, and freedom from coercion and restraint. The setting ensures the individual has the freedom and support to control his/her own		
schedule and activities.		
Compliance:	□ Mat □ Remodiation Plan demonstrating will be compliant	

Transformation Plan Summary:

NES will conduct pilot groups with the day service without walls model. NES will expand activity options by allowing clients to suggest multiple options that they are interested in. NES will reduce ratio size for community activities.

Onsite Visit Summary (2021):

Due to multiple North Eastern Services (NES) not being compliant and the noncompliance areas being similar at all of the settings, the State gave NES the opportunity to remediate organization level noncompliance areas as an organization prior to doing additional validation visits.

The following concerns were identified at an organization level after several visits were done at multiple settings and the provider was asked to address these items at an organizational level: The settings must do a better job at individualized schedules: There is not a formal process for individuals to give input into the master calendar/schedule. Individual's are not given an option of alternative activities if they do not wish to participate in an activity. Individual's cannot move between groups based on preferred activities/staff/friends they want to participate with. Staff control individual's money when in the community.

The settings must train their staff on communicating about and treating individuals served with dignity and respect: Functional labels and language were widely used across the settings by both staff and individuals served. Typically, individuals were described as "low functioning" or "high functioning."

The settings need to do a better job at not regimenting individual initiative, autonomy, and independence in making life choices: There were group restrictions for the entire setting in place (such as personal phones, food). There were reported restrictions where there was no way for others to circumvent the restrictions (such as sharps and food). Both staff and individuals served reported that either "all" or a lot of individuals had restrictions in place.

Remediation Plan Summary:

All individuals are encouraged to communicate their preference of activities with the Day Program Manager. With all individuals input, a Day Program calendar is then created and sent home with all individuals. It is the individual's choice to participate in any activities for the day. If an individual chooses not to participate in an activity for a specific day and is able to provide the Day Program manager with sufficient notice on a desired change to the calendar, accommodations can be made to the schedule, staffing, etc. All individuals are allowed to move between groups as they desire. Based on desires, client interests, local activities/events, and the time of year, the day program manager builds the schedule.

Staff will be trained on not using functional labels as a representation for the individuals we work with. We will look at our programs and how the different groups and teams are organized and not refer to the groups as "low functioning" or "high functioning". NES is building a training and quiz to be completed by all staff.

All individuals are encouraged to participate in learning opportunities. Material items not associated with the learning objective are most often distractions and are discouraged. Many individuals have behavior plans and rights restrictions in place for the safety of self and others surrounding them. Those individuals who do not have the direct restriction are able to possess items by keeping them in their personal belongings or request assistance from staff to obtain desired items. Staff will be trained on what restrictions are in place in each program so they can have a better understanding and help accommodate those without restrictions. NES is building a training and quiz to be completed by all staff.

Overall, the setting enforces the Home and Community-Based Settings Regulation requirements.		
Compliance:	☐ Met ☑ Remediation Plan demonstrating will be compliant	
Summary:	Overall, the setting has a plan to remediate the institutional and segregating characteristics that were identified in their setting. A validation visit will be conducted to ensure their remediation plan has been implemented prior to final compliance being determined.	

Input from Individuals Served and Staff

Individuals		
Served	Individuals will be interviewed in January, 2023	
Summary:		
Staff	Staff will be intensioused in January 2022	
Summary:	Staff will be interviewed in January, 2023	

Ongoing Remediation Activities				
Current Standing: Currently Compliant Approved Remediation Plan				
Continued Remediation Activities	The setting is finalizing its remediation activities in prong 3A and 3C. The State will conduct a validation visit to ensure they are compliant in the areas indicated.			
Ongoing Monitoring Activities	The State will use the following tools to ensure settings continue compliance with the Settings Rule criteria: Conducting individual served experience surveys Addressing settings compliance during the annual person centered service planning process Ongoing provider training and certification Monitoring through critical incident reporting Case Management/Support Coordinator visit monitoring HCBS Waiver Reviews/Audits			

Summary of Stakeholder Workgroup Comments Received and State Response:

Public Comment Period: December 12, 2022 to January 13, 2023

Setting Specific Comments:

Comment:

The Disability Law Center (DLC) appreciates the opportunity to provide comments on the HCBS Settings Rule Heightened Scrutiny process as both a member of the settings stakeholder committee and through the public comment process. As the Protection and Advocacy agency for people with disabilities for the State of Utah, the Disability Law Center ("DLC") is uniquely suited to provide assistance and input during this process. Based on our own observations as the P&A as well as our evaluation of the state's assessments of settings, the state did not engage in a sufficient assessment process or provide adequate support to bring settings into compliance with the

rule prior to the February 2023 deadline. We are concerned that HCBS waiver dollars will continue to be spent on segregated, institutional settings despite the state's obligations under the HCBS settings rule, Title II of the ADA and *Olmstead*. This heightened scrutiny evidentiary package demonstrates these ongoing concerns as detailed below.

Response:

While the State does acknowledge that activities for remediation extended into March 2023, it does not believe strategies deviated from its Statewide Transition Plan, or that inappropriate methods were used in validating compliance. The State also acknowledges that Settings compliance is not a one time activity and the usage of ongoing monitoring will aid to reinforce core tenants of the Rule as well as the development and dissemination of best practices. The State encourages the submission of providers who are believed to be non-compliant with requirements of the Settings Rule, including the elements/criteria which are not sufficiently meeting expectations.

General Comments Received:

Comment:

The materials provided by the State in the newly-released evidentiary packets raise concerns about whether the identified settings currently demonstrate the qualities of HCBS. In most instances, the state has only completed a final desk review and/or virtual review instead of an in-person visit. We believe that this is insufficient to confirm that a setting does not isolate individuals or have the indices of an institution.

Response:

The State has a comprehensive virtual validation visit process in place to determine HCBS Settings Rule compliance. If at any time the State determines that the virtual process is not sufficient for a specific setting, then the State will make the determination that an in-person visit is required at that time. Once a setting has completed its remediation and the State has validated its compliance with the HCBS settings rule, it moves to the ongoing monitoring process.

Comment:

In many instances, the packages state that the setting is compliant based on a remediation plan and indicate that a validation visit will be completed in the future. Many of the reviews state that individuals are not getting into the community to the degree they would wish and that there are still institution-like restrictions on individuals in the settings. The state needs to give the results of final validations to the work group and other stakeholders before it can submit the setting to CMS for heightened scrutiny.

Response:

Settings must demonstrate compliance or demonstrate a plan along with the State's oversight to ensure completion of action's to certify they will become compliant prior to March 17, 2023 before the State submits them through the heightened scrutiny process.

Comment:

The reviews in many instances lack the detail necessary to determine whether a setting is institutional/segregating. For example, there are reviews of 14c certificate holders that do not indicate whether the setting will pay subminimum wage moving forward. Reviews indicate that individuals access the community, but in many instances don't specify how large the groups are, what types of activities they engage in and the frequency with which activities occur. Some reviews mention work groups/work enclaves, but do not specify what

type of work individuals engage in, where people work and how large the work groups are. The reviews frequently say that the setting does not restrict access to the community, that community amenities are within "miles" and that there is access to public transportation, but often do not specify how the facility supports individuals to access these amenities/public transportation.

Response:

While the State agrees that certain criteria can create concerns with compliance, several elements described do not determine on their own whether a setting meets or fails requirements. Individual settings are reviewed and assessed on their merit. For example, payment of sub-minimum wage work or group sizes in and of themselves are not including or excluding criteria. The state determines compliance based on factors such as person centered planning, individual choice and autonomy, individualized schedules, and individuals self-reporting they are accessing the community at the level that they desire.

Comment:

We are very concerned about how the state has handled non-residential settings, particularly large day programs and sheltered workshops. These reviews do not demonstrate that the state has ensured that these particularly problematic settings have remediated sufficient to comply with the settings rule as well as title II of the ADA and Olmstead. Again, many final reviews have not been completed in person, and most frequently the state is submitting sites that have submitted a remediation plan but have not been validated as remediated.

Response:

Settings must demonstrate compliance or demonstrate a plan along with the State's oversight to ensure completion of action's to certify they will become compliant prior to March 17, 2023 before the State submits them through the heightened scrutiny process. The State has a comprehensive virtual validation visit process in place to determine HCBS Settings Rule compliance. If at any time the State determines that the virtual process is not sufficient for a specific setting, then the State will make the determination that an in-person visit is required at that time. Once a setting has completed its remediation and the State has validated its compliance with the HCBS settings rule, it moves to the ongoing monitoring process. While the State does acknowledge that activities for remediation extended into March 2023, it does not believe strategies deviated from its Statewide Transition Plan, or that inappropriate methods were used in validating compliance. The State also acknowledges that Settings compliance is not a one time activity and the usage of ongoing monitoring will aid to reinforce core tenants of the Rule as well as the development and dissemination of best practices. The State encourages the submission of providers who are believed to be non-compliant with requirements of the Settings Rule, including the elements/criteria which are not sufficiently meeting expectations.

Comment:

Reviews indicate that individuals are still being segregated by "level of functioning" and even by whether an individual resides in an ICF or an HCBS setting.

Response:

The State agrees that settings identified as having this concern are institution and segregating in nature. The purpose of the heightened scrutiny process was to identify settings that were institutional and segregating in nature and go through the process of showing how they overcame those qualities. Settings submitting for heightened scrutiny were required to remediate through training of staff, provide evidence of compliance, and demonstrate compliance through validation that they were compliant in these areas of concern.

Comment:

Reviews do not indicate that the EPR codes which contemplate meaningful, individualized, time-limited prevocational programs are being implemented in Workshops. Reviews do not indicate that individuals are spending at least 20% of their time in the community engaging in activities chosen by the individual. Reviews do not (for the most part) indicate whether or not the provider is continuing to pay subminimum wage. Reviews do not consider what type of work individuals engage in the setting and whether or not that work is chosen by the individual. Frequently, specificity as to how many individuals are working in a group is not given. Frequently, information about how settings are supporting individuals to gain competitive, integrated employment as guaranteed by the settings rule is not given.

Response:

As with all settings, the State's review was for the purpose of determining whether the tenants of the Settings Rule had been met, regardless of which specific services were delivered at the location. The State has separate compliance monitoring for the appropriate authorization of Employment Preparation Services and the delivery of those services by providers.

Comment:

Frequently, reviews indicate that there are still restrictive practices in the settings indicating an institution-like environment.

Response:

The State agrees that many reviews indicated settings still had restrictive practices in place indicating an institution-like environment as they had not yet gone through their final validation process at the time they went out for heightened scrutiny. The State has spent considerable time with settings and providers providing technical assistance beyond what was documented in their remediation plans to remediate their institutional and segregating characteristics to come into compliance with the rule.

Summary of Public Comments Received and State Response:

Public Comment Period: December 12, 2022 to January 13, 2023

Setting Specific Comments:

Comment:

One commenter stated North Eastern Services, Inc site 1026 is a day support services program located at 433 S Commerce Rd., Orem, UT 84058. It provides services to 36 individuals. The materials provided by the State in the evidentiary packet do not demonstrate that the identified setting currently demonstrates the qualities of HCBS. For stakeholders to provide effective feedback, the state needs to present stakeholders with final validations of compliance with the rule rather than un-validated remediation plans. It is unclear if the most recent assessment of the setting was not completed in person. In our experience as the P&A, it is difficult to accurately assess characteristics of an institution as well as to communicate effectively with waiver participants without an inperson visit.

Response:

Settings must demonstrate compliance or demonstrate a plan along with the State's oversight to ensure completion of action's to certify they will become compliant prior to March 17, 2023 before the State submits them through the heightened scrutiny process. A validation visit was conducted in January (1/31/2023) to ensure that the remediation plan was implemented and the setting was not compliant in all the areas indicated. We

asked for additional remediation items to be submitted by March 17th, 2023 and have been working with the provider to ensure that timeline. The State has a comprehensive virtual validation visit process in place to determine HCBS Settings Rule compliance. If at any time the State determines that the virtual process is not sufficient for a specific setting, then the State will make the determination that an in-person visit is required at that time. This setting was approved under Utah's Corrective Action Plan (CAP) approved by Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) to allow more time to come into compliance. The State worked with NES closely to provide technical assistance to come into compliance and an in-person validation visit was conducted in May 2023. The setting was determined to be compliant at that time.

Comment:

The same commenter had additional feedback that the remediation plan is insufficient given the lack of detail and given the large obstacles to compliance that must be overcome by the February 2023 deadline. The state's own reviews demonstrate significant barriers to compliance including limited community integration and restrictive practices in the setting. The setting is located in an industrial area, and while the evidentiary packet states that there are amenities within driving distance, it does not explain whether consumers are supported to access them. Individuals are not given control over their schedules or activities in and out of the setting. Individuals seem to be not only segregated from the larger community of non-disabled peers, but are also categorized in groups based on support needs or "functional" labels. There are blanket restrictions on access to food and phones, not based on any assessed need. There is no assessment of if or how the setting supports individuals to seek competitive, integrated employment.

Response:

As part of the remediation process after the 2021 visit State staff provided technical assistance to NES leadership. As part of this technical assistance the provider works with State staff to understand the specific expectations of the Settings rule. As the remediation plans are unique to each Setting the State outlines expectations to providers and then determines if they are meeting those expectations during the follow up visit. The State conducted a validation visit in January (1/31/2023) to ensure that the remediation plan was implemented and the setting was not compliant in all the areas indicated. During the visit it was observed that large groups of individuals are going on visits to a local mall. Staff and individuals indicated that they would break into smaller groups so individuals could visit the places that interested them. It can be hard to individualize community experiences when participating in large groups. We are working with the provider to implement a better practice regarding activities to popular areas where the provider makes multiple visits during the day or week. Groups were set up by support and need to be changed so individuals can be in a group of their choice with their friends and move between groups freely. The provider is also working to train staff on rights restrictions, soliciting feedback from individuals outside of a monthly planning meeting and changing their activity planning process to focus on the suggestions of the individual. It was unclear from the visit how staff were implementing skill development that was happening at the setting into skill development in the community. We asked for this documentation for review by March 17th, 2023 and have been working with the provider to ensure this timeline. In addition to site specific items the State has been working with NES to complete provider wide training and streamline activity calendars, rights restrictions, and processes for ensuring individual's involvement in activity planning and skill development for use at all NES settings. This setting was approved under Utah's Corrective Action Plan (CAP) approved by Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) to allow more time to come into compliance. The State worked with NES closely to provide technical assistance to come into compliance and an in-person validation visit was conducted in May 2023. The setting was determined to be compliant at that time.

Comment:

The same commenter had additional feedback additionally, it is unclear whether or not this site is offering prevocational training. The state transition plan proposed a hub and spoke model for pre-vocational services called EPR which requires 20% of a consumer's time to be spent in the community, meaningful and individualized training focused on gaining competitive, integrated employment. This service is only available on a time-limited basis. If this site is offering pre-vocational services, the state needs to assess whether the setting has implemented the EPR/hub and spoke model with fidelity.

Response:

This setting does not offer EPR as a service.

Comment:

The same commenter had additional feedback as there is no validation visit for this site, it is unclear how it is meaningful to submit an evidentiary report to CMS or how stakeholders could possibly provide feedback about the site. Given the short amount of time before the compliance deadline and the widespread nature of noncompliance, it is unlikely that this setting will remediate within the required timeline.

Response:

Settings must demonstrate compliance or demonstrate a plan along with the State's oversight to ensure completion of action's to certify they will become compliant prior to March 17, 2023 before the State submits them through the heightened scrutiny process. This setting was approved under Utah's Corrective Action Plan (CAP) approved by Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) to allow more time to come into compliance. The State worked with NES closely to provide technical assistance to come into compliance and an in-person validation visit was conducted in May 2023. The setting was determined to be compliant at that time.

General Comments Received:

Comment:

The Disability Law Center (DLC) appreciates the opportunity to provide comments on the HCBS Settings Rule Heightened Scrutiny process as both a member of the settings stakeholder committee and through the public comment process. As the Protection and Advocacy agency for people with disabilities for the State of Utah, the Disability Law Center ("DLC") is uniquely suited to provide assistance and input during this process. Based on our own observations as the P&A as well as our evaluation of the state's assessments of settings, the state did not engage in a sufficient assessment process or provide adequate support to bring settings into compliance with the rule prior to the February 2023 deadline. We are concerned that HCBS waiver dollars will continue to be spent on segregated, institutional settings despite the state's obligations under the HCBS settings rule, Title II of the ADA and Olmstead. This heightened scrutiny evidentiary package demonstrates these ongoing concerns as detailed below.

Response:

While the State does acknowledge that activities for remediation extended into March 2023, it does not believe strategies deviated from its Statewide Transition Plan, or that inappropriate methods were used in validating compliance. The State also acknowledges that Settings compliance is not a one time activity and the usage of ongoing monitoring will aid to reinforce core tenants of the Rule as well as the development and dissemination of best practices. The State encourages the submission of providers who are believed to be non-compliant with requirements of the Settings Rule, including the elements/criteria which are not sufficiently meeting expectations.

Comment:

The same commenter had additional feedback stating the evidentiary package lacks specificity regarding compliance with the rule. The state should gather more information regarding how individuals are accessing the community and whether individuals are accessing the community in the way and to the extent desired. The remediation plan is insufficient given the lack of detail and given the large obstacles to compliance that must be overcome by the February 2023 deadline. The information did not specify how large the community groups are, what types of activities they engage in and the frequency with which activities occur.

Response:

Settings must demonstrate compliance or demonstrate a plan along with the State's oversight to ensure completion of action's to certify they will become compliant prior to March 17, 2023 before the State submits them through the heightened scrutiny process. As indicated on the heightened scrutiny package, a validation visit was conducted in January (1/13/23) to ensure that the remediation plan was implemented and the setting was compliant in the areas indicated. The setting was determined compliant at the validation visit. The area has built up in the past few years to include more businesses in the immediate area. There is a gas station, fast food restaurants, and other community resources within a short walking distance of the setting giving individuals independent access to their community, if they desire. Individuals expressed through interviews they are enjoying the increased community outing and activities of their choice with their friends. Individuals confirmed they schedule their weekly activities and choose what they want to do. Individuals choose what groups they go into the community with and staff reported groups are chosen based on who they want to participate in what activity. They are going out into the community in small groups with whom they choose. Some examples of community activities they have frequented recently are: gas stations, restaurants (they have multiple examples), bowling, library, different parks, and shopping (multiple examples). The setting has created an adventure book with ideas of several community adventure options for individuals to get ideas from if they are unsure of what to do in the community to encourage them to add to the calendar or try something new.

Comment:

The same commenter had additional feedback stating there was no information to indicate that the site has or will fully implement the EPR codes, or what specific opportunities are available for competitive integrated employment. Further, there was no information confirming that the site does not pay or facilitate the payment of subminimum wage, or that to the extent piecework is used, it is truly in service of gaining specific and measurable vocational skills. In addition, there was no assurance that individuals at the facility will spend a minimum of 20% of their time in the community.

Response:

As with all settings, the State's review was for the purpose of determining whether the tenants of the Settings Rule had been met, regardless of which specific services were delivered at the location. The State has separate compliance monitoring for the appropriate authorization of Employment Preparation Services and the delivery of those services by providers.

Comment:

The same commenter had additional feedback stating, finally, there is no evidence present that this setting was selected from options that included non-disability specific settings. The information only says that individuals choose this setting to "stay in their community" and that the setting does not restrict access to other non-

disability specific settings when requested. This information does not speak at all to how, and from what options, the setting was originally selected.

Response:

While the State agrees oversight is necessary to ensure individuals are presented with an appropriate array of service options, including non-disability settings, this practice is primarily handled during the person-centered planning process. When individuals are interested in exploring options, it is reasonably expected that the conversation includes the individual's selected Support Coordinator and their planning team. The State did not observe any concerns with this practice when evaluating the provider.

Comment:

One commenter reported that they were a support coordinator who provides support to an individual who attends the Danville, Draper day program. The individual they work with is rarely in the community when they do their visits. When they inquire about activities outside the facility, there are few activities that are anything other than things like van rides, helping a staff fill the van with gas or getting the van cleaned, or going to the store for supplies. Other activities do happen outside the facility, but as near as I can tell it is happening weekly or less and it is a whole group activity, with maybe a few people staying back that maybe can't or don't want to go. There is little to no choice about activities outside the facility. Even inside there isn't a lot of choice--TV, crafts, games, reading, talking, cleaning. Their client likes their peers and staff so he doesn't want to leave. It is sad that he is being underserved but I can't get the staff to understand how it can be better. They have talked with them about how other providers are operating and they get excuses.

Response:

The State believes that this observation is likely accurate with how services were delivered in previous years. However, after the receipt of significant technical assistance, the validation visit in January 2023 demonstrated significant changes in service delivery. If the commenter has additional concerns with observed care provided by this setting after January 2023, we strongly encourage this feedback to be shared to our email support at https://medicaid.utah.gov/ltc/hcbstransition/.

Summary of Stakeholder Workgroup Recommendation:

Stakeholder Workgroup Review: December 14, 2022- December 29, 2022

Only one member of the Stakeholder Workgroup Responded. Their specific comments are noted above.

Utah's Recommendation

Recommendation: Compliant

At the time the heightened scrutiny packet was submitted for public comment, the State had not completed the final validation visit. The State has since completed the final validation visit and determined the setting has overcome the effect of isolating individuals from the broader community and is in compliance with the HCBS Settings Rule.